UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx	DOCUMENT ELECTRONIC:
STEVEN ZWEIG,	ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: MAR 0 9 2012
Plaintiff,	No. 11 Civ. 5238 (LTS)(RLE)
SAMIR KAMAT <u>et al.</u> ,	
Defendants.	
X	

ORDER

The Court has reviewed Magistrate Judge Ellis's February 7, 2012, Report and Recommendation (the "Report"), which recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice, as Plaintiff has failed to serve Defendants with a copy of the Summons and Complaint, despite more than six months having passed since the action was commenced. Neither party has filed an objection to the Report.

In reviewing a report and recommendation, a district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C.A. § 636(b)(1)(C) (West 2006). Where neither party files timely, specific objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, a district court need only determine that the recommendation is not clearly erroneous or contrary to the law. <u>Arista Records LLC v. Doe</u>, 604 F.3d 110, 117 (2d Cir. 2010); <u>see</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 72.

The Court has reviewed carefully Judge Ellis's Report and finds no clear error.

The Court therefore adopts the Report in its entirety, and this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

ZWEIG.WPD VERSION 3/8/12

Copies mailed/faxed to Chambers of Judge Swain

The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would not be taken in good faith and, therefore, in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. See Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962).

The Clerk of the Court is respectfully requested to enter judgment accordingly and close this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York March 8, 2012

> LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN United States District Judge

ZWEIG.WPD VERSION 3/8/12